

Document downloaded from:

[\[http://redivia.gva.es/handle/20.500.11939/6024\]](http://redivia.gva.es/handle/20.500.11939/6024)

This paper must be cited as:

[Benelli, G., Giunti, G., Tena, A., Desneux, N., Caselli, A., Canale, A. (2017). The impact of adult diet on parasitoid reproductive performance. *Journal of Pest Science*, 90(3), 807-823.]

**ivia**  
Institut Valencià  
d'Investigacions Agràries

The final publication is available at

[\[http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10340-017-0835-2\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10340-017-0835-2)

Copyright [Springer]

*Journal of Pest Science*, 90(3), 807-823.

**The impact of adult diet on parasitoid reproductive performance**

Giovanni Benelli <sup>1</sup> § \*, Giulia Giunti <sup>2</sup> §, Alejandro Tena <sup>3</sup>, Nicolas Desneux <sup>4</sup>, Alice Caselli <sup>1</sup>, Angelo Canale <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Pisa, via del Borghetto 80, 56124 Pisa, Italy

<sup>2</sup> Department of Agriculture, University “Mediterranea” of Reggio Calabria, Loc. Feo di Vito, 89122 Reggio Calabria, Italy

<sup>3</sup> Unidad Asociada de Entomología UJI–IVIA, Centro de Protección Vegetal y Biotecnología, Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias (IVIA), Spain

<sup>4</sup> INRA (French National Institute for Agricultural Research), Univ. Nice Sophia Antipolis, CNRS, UMR 1355-7254, Institut Sophia Agrobiotech, 06903, Sophia Antipolis, France

§ G.B. and G.G. contributed equally to the manuscript

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +39-0502216116; +39-0502216141. Fax: +39-0502216130. E-mail address: benelli.giovanni@gmail.com

## Abstract

Diet is one of the most common influences on parasitoid reproductive traits. The life span, mating ability, fecundity, fertility and sex ratio of parasitoids can be affected by the quality of the adult diet. In the field, parasitoids can rely on different hosts and non-host nutrient sources, such as floral and extra floral nectar, hemipteran honeydew and pollen, and various artificial diets have been used in mass rearing. In addition, some parasitoid species obtain nutrients by feeding on their host while adult (host feeding). In this review, we summarize current knowledge on the impact of the adult diet on reproductive behavior of hymenopteran and dipteran parasitoids, with a particular focus on longevity, offspring production and host searching traits. First, we focus on food preferences and learning abilities of parasitoids to discriminate high quality diets. Second, we analyze the impact of the adult diet on longevity, examining different natural and artificial food sources as well as the effect of their concentration and frequency. Third, we highlight the impact of the adult diet on host foraging. Fourth, we review the impact of adult diet on parasitoid offspring with special reference to (i) egg load, maturation and resorption, (ii) parasitism, and (iii) progeny production and sex ratio. Finally, a number of implications for biological control and Integrated Pest Management are discussed.

**Keywords:** biological control; Diptera; Hymenoptera; host searching; longevity; offspring production

## **Key Message**

- Here we review current knowledge on the impact of the adult diet on reproductive behavior of hymenopteran and dipteran parasitoids.
- The impact of the adult diet on parasitoid longevity is analyzed, examining different natural food sources, their concentration and frequency, as well as artificial diets currently employed in mass-rearing programs.
- We examine the impact of adult diet on parasitoid offspring, with special reference to egg load, maturation and resorption, parasitism, progeny production and sex ratio.
- Furthermore, we highlight the impact of the adult diet on host searching, then a number of implications for biological control and IPM are discussed.

## **Author Contribution Statement**

GB and GG conceived and designed research. GB, GG, AT, ND, AIC, and AnC selected the literature. GB, GG, AT, ND, AIC and AnC analyzed the data. GB, GG, ND and AT wrote the manuscript.

## Introduction

Parasitoids are important organisms in both natural and human-modified environments. In nature, they form important components of ecological food webs and in agriculture they can be used as biological control agents against a number of crop pests of economic importance. Adult female parasitoids have to decide how to allocate their time, mainly dedicated to host or food foraging, to minimize energy waste. Food foraging is usually mediated by the exploitation of visual and olfactory cues, which can cover innately attractive stimuli (Wäckers 1994) as well as learned ones (Giunti et al. 2015). Both play a pivotal role in minimizing costs associated with food searching activities and on adaptation to spatial and temporal variation of food availability (Giunti et al. 2015). Because of their direct implication in biological control programs, parasitoid host-foraging behavior has been widely investigated, but even food-foraging behavior has a key role for biological control since nutrition affects the parasitoid reproductive traits (Jervis and Kidd 1986; Tena et al. 2015).

Previous review papers discussed peculiar aspects of adult parasitoid nutrition, such as the benefits associated to certain food sources (i.e. host feeding reviewed by Jervis and Kidd 1986; floral nectar by Heimpel and Jervis 2005; nectar, pollen and honeydew by Wäckers 2005 and Wäckers et al. 2008), the impact of natural (i.e. flowering species Jervis et al. 1996 and Russell 2005) and artificial (i.e. food spray Wade et al. 2008 and Tena et al. 2015) foods on parasitoid survival in field conditions, as well as the allocation and utilization efficiency of essential nutrients (Jervis et al. 2008). In this review, we summarize current knowledge on the impact of the adult diet on reproductive behavior of hymenopteran and dipteran parasitoids, highlighting the

impact of a broad range of natural and artificial diets on a number of parasitoid life-history traits. In the first section, we focus food searching (mostly preferences and learning abilities of parasitoids). In the second section, we analyze the impact of the adult diet on parasitoid longevity, examining different natural food sources (i.e. plant nectar and pollen, honeydew and host feeding), as well as artificial diets currently employed in mass-rearing programs. In the third section, we highlight the impact of the adult diet on host searching. In the fourth section, we review the impact of adult diet on parasitoid offspring, with special reference to (i) egg load, maturation and resorption, (ii) fecundity, fertility and parasitism, and (iii) sex ratio. Finally, we outline a number of implications for biological control and Integrated Pest Management. Information about dipteran parasitoids are limited and, when available, is presented in a separate paragraph at the end of every section.

The reviewed literature presented here is mainly focused on laboratory studies, whereas field applications are outlined in the conclusion section. In the field, parasitoids can rely on host and non-host nutrient sources, such as floral and extra floral nectar (Lee et al., 2006; Winkler et al. 2006), hemipteran honeydew (Tena et al. 2013a) and pollen (Zhang et al. 2004). Host feeding is not unusual among parasitoids (Jervis and Kidd 1986; Jervis et al. 1996), and at least one species obtains proteins and carbohydrates from host hemolymph (Giron et al. 2002). Moreover, at population level, the host-parasitoid interaction may be altered by parasitoid energetic requirements (Briggs et al. 1995; Evans and England 1996). For this reason, field researchers aim to increase the amount of sugars in the field improving parasitoid efficiency.

In contrast to previous reviews, which have generally focused on a specific food source, here we review the influence of a broad range of nutritional resources on

parasitoid life traits. The nutrients necessary to parasitoid reproduction are partially acquired during the larval stages, and they may be allocated directly to egg production (i.e. proovigenic egg maturation), but also stored as teneral reserves for adult maintenance and reproduction (Jervis et al. 2008). Storage resources may also arise from excess nutrients assimilated during adult nutrition, considering storage a different way to invest resources, comparable to maintenance or reproduction. Nutrients stored in the fat body may be subsequently remobilized through metabolic costs, which are small in comparison to costs associated with egg resorption (Boggs 1992). Overall, insects seem to use carbohydrates as their basic energy resource, while lipids function as long-term energy stores, although in the parasitoid diet the amount of lipid is moderate (Rivero and Casas 1999; Mondy et al. 2006) and most species are unable to synthesize lipids during their adulthood (Ellers 1996; Visser and Ellers 2008).

## **Food preferences and learning abilities**

Among insects, food selection is a common behavior (Waldbauer, 1968). Food sources present in the ecosystem can vary in nutrient composition and diet selectivity is crucial to optimize parasitoid fitness. In nature, adult parasitoids may exploit as sugary sources a broad range of foods, including nectars and honeydew. This latter food source is considered of lower nutritional value (Wäckers et al., 2008); and simulation models indicate that the ability to select for nectar makes parasitoids more efficient under field conditions (Vollhardt et al. 2010). However, honeydew is largely available in agroecosystems and parasitoids' preferences may become adapted to this resource, when they forage in a honeydew-rich microhabitat (Wäckers 2005; Tena et al., 2016). In

the case of the parasitoid *Aphytis melinus* DeBach (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae), which can feed on honeydews excreted by different hemipteran species (Tena et al. 2013a), naïve parasitoids prefer to feed on sugar-rich honeydew than on poor ones (Tena et al. 2013b).

The preference and selection of artificial sugars by hymenopteran parasitoids have been explored more in detail. *Cotesia glomerata* (L.) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) feeds on a wide range of natural carbohydrates, but does not accept certain sugars (i.e. galactose, mannose, rhamnose, lactose, raffinose and melibiose at 2 M concentration) when previously provided with water (Wäckers 1999). However, the addition of fructose (1/8 M), but not that of sucrose, increased the response of *C. glomerata* mannose and raffinose (Wäckers 1999), highlighting the effect of food composition on its suitability for parasitoid alimentation. The ichneumonid *Diadegma semiclausum* (Hellén) also exploits trehalose, a peculiar sugar present in hemolymph and in some honeydews, whereas it shows low responses to lactose and melibiose (Winkler et al. 2005). Moderately stimulatory sugars are also readily accepted by the egg parasitoid *Anaphes iole* Girault (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) when wasps have been deprived of water and food (Beach et al. 2003).

Parasitoids should balance nutrient intake, avoiding toxicity of over-ingestion of plant secondary metabolites or herbivorous digestive excretions and, therefore, experience may alter innate parasitoid preferences, increasing responses for innately unattractive food sources (Kugimiya et al. 2010). Vollhardt et al. (2010) demonstrated that, while naïve *A. ervi* shows no preferences for either flower nectar or honeydew, wasps, which have experienced honeydew nutrition, prefer to feed on nectar. Several studies investigated the ability of parasitoids to learn both non-host (Sato and Takasu



2000; Wäckers et al. 2002; Olson et al. 2003) and host-related olfactory cues (Canale et al. 2014) in association with food reward, altering adult preferences and in-flight orientation.

## **Impact of the adult diet on parasitoid longevity**

The access to appropriate food sources is fundamental for the survival of parasitoids (Idris and Grafius 1995; Jervis et al. 1996; Wyckhuys et al. 2008). Carbohydrate sources as sugar, nectar and honeydew are not always readily available in the agro-ecosystems and this may play a detrimental role in biocontrol programs, since longevity affects directly the impact of parasitoids as biological control agents (Wäckers 2004).

### ***Natural food sources***

#### ***Nectar and pollen***

Plant nectar is an aqueous solution of sugars, amino acids and other compounds, which quantitatively and qualitatively vary among plant species. Generally, the main compounds of nectar are sucrose, glucose and fructose, quickly digestible carbohydrates with high-energy content, equally suitable for parasitoid alimentation (Hogervorst et al. 2007b), which, with few exceptions (Tompkins et al. 2010), can positively influence parasitoid longevity (Jervis et al. 1996). Floral and extra-floral nectars boost adult longevity (Olson and Nechols 1995; Russell 2005). However, flower availability usually

causes a higher increase of longevity in braconid (Olson et al. 2000; Sisterson and Averill 2002; Berndt and Wratten 2005) and mymarid (Cronin and Strong 1990; Jacob et al. 2006; Mutitu et al. 2013) female parasitoids.

The mating status may affect the beneficial effect of nectar on the longevity of female parasitoids. For example, virgin females of *Bathyplectes curculionis* (Thomson) (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) live longer than mated ones when provided with flower nectar of dandelion, phacelia, alfalfa, and even with water alone, whereas no survival effect is recorded when wasps feed on honey solution (Jacob and Evans 2000).

Conversely, the mating status does not seem to influence the fitness of male parasitoids fed on nectar. In *Pteromalus cerealellae* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae), food provisioning increases male longevity, irrespective to mating status, while in females, which have longer lifespans respect to males, a negative effect of mating is recorded when nectar is not associated to host-food presence (Onagbola et al. 2007).

The suitability of pollen as food source for parasitoid is narrowly investigated, since is usually considered as less valuable (e.g. for aphid parasitoids see Stary 1969).

Females of the trichogrammatid parasitoid *Trichogramma brassicae* Bezdenko (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) live longer in presence of corn pollen respect to unfed females, although significantly less than those fed with honey or a mixture of honey and corn pollen (Zhang et al. 2004). *Cotesia plutellae* (Kurdjumov) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) also exploits beebread food (i.e. a mixture of pollen and nectar collected by honeybees), which is rich in proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, enzymes, vitamins and hormone precursors, by prolonging the adult life of both sexes (Soyelu 2013).

## Honeydew

On contrast to other natural food sources, such as floral and extrafloral nectars, honeydew is primarily a byproduct. Honeydew has not evolved to attract sugar-feeding arthropods and contains plant-derived and aphid synthesized compounds, which can reduce its nutritional suitability (Wäckers et al. 2008). Sugars from hemolymph and honeydew promote *Eretmocerus melanoscutus* and *Encarsia formosa* lifespan, even if *E. formosa* wasps receive no benefits from feeding on trehalose (Hirose et al. 2009), a peculiar carbohydrate of aphid hemolymph present in some honeydews (Hogervorst et al. 2007a). Similar negative responses to trehalose are reported also for *C. glomerata* (Wäckers 2001), *D. semiclausum* (Winkler et al. 2005) and *B. curculionis* (Jacob and Evans 2004), suggesting that insect-produced oligosaccharides are poor food source for parasitoids (Wäckers 2000). Although the sugar profile of honeydew does not always explicate its lower nutritional value, the relatively high viscosity and the tendency of some honeydew sugars to rapidly crystallize could explain its lower suitability compared to nectar (Faria et al. 2008).

Despite the general assumption, some honeydews can increase parasitoid longevity as other sugar sources; the ichneumonid *B. curculionis*, as well as the trichogrammatid *Trichogramma ostrinae* Pang and Chen, the pteromalid *Scutellista caerulea* (Fonscolombe) and braconid *Psytalia humilis* (Silvestri) and *Diaeretiella rapae* (McIntosh) successfully uses host or non-host honeydew as food source to prolong adult life, with comparable results to honey or nectar nutrition (England and Evans 1997; Fuchsberg et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2011; Varennes et al. 2016). When flower or extra-flower nectars are not available, parasitoids can detect and feed on

honeydew from hosts and non-hosts as it has been reported for *A. ervi* (Hogervorst et al. 2007a, b) and *A. melinus* (Tena et al. 2013a, b). Even when nectar is available, some parasitoids prefer to feed on honeydew (Lee et al. 2006), which increases adult lifespan less than nectar sources (Lee et al. 2004). Nevertheless, the effects of honeydew on parasitoid longevity greatly depend on the parasitoid species. Dulaurent et al. (2011) investigated the effect of different kind of honeydews on a generalist [*Ooencyrtus pityocampae* (Mercet) (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae)] and a specialist [*Baryscapus servadeii* (Domenichini) (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae)] parasitoid. Honeydew feeding had a significant impact on the longevity of the generalist parasitoids, while the specialist species benefited from just specific honeydew types (Dulaurent et al. 2011).

Since honeydew-producers directly feed on phloem sap and do not degrade several compounds (Douglas 2003), honeydew reflect phloem sap composition. In this scenario, honeydew may be greatly altered in presence of transgenic plants producing insecticidal proteins. Indeed, *Galanthus nivalis* agglutinin (GNA) ingestion reduces *A. ervi* longevity, either when provided directly in a sugar solution or through contaminated honeydew (Hogervorst et al. 2009). Similarly, high concentrations (0.5% w/v) of GNA and concanavalin agglutinin (Con A) significantly reduce the survival chances of *Eulophus pennicornis* (Nees) adult females, altering parasitoid food consumption rates and decreasing food intakes (Wakefield et al. 2010). Moreover, even if generally sap-feeding insects excrete carbohydrates over nitrogen rich nutrients (Wäckers 2000), honeydews with highly concentrated amino acids are not appropriate to prolong lifespan of *Trichogramma* spp. wasps, which can handle their entire life using nitrogen reserves from the larval stages (Ashley and Gonzalez, 1974; McDougall and Mills 1997).

## Host feeding

A wide range of parasitoids, estimated at nearly a third of all parasitoids, feed on their host during adulthood (Kidd and Jervis 1991), through both non-destructive (i.e. the host survives after being fed on) and destructive (i.e. the host dies) feeding (Jervis and Kidd 1986). Parasitic wasps host-killing behaviors, over parasitization, comprise also destructive feeding and eventually host stinging. In this context, it is useful to understand how parasitoids decide to exploit the host as food or reproductive resource. Generally, when parasitoid microhabitat includes both sugar-rich foods and hosts, wasps benefit from host feeding coupled with honey (Heimpel et al. 1997; Schmale et al. 2001; Kapranas and Luck 2008) or non-host honeydew (McDougall and Mills 1997), but not from host feeding alone. The exclusive presence of host food may cause divergent effects; while the pteromalid *Dinarmus basalis* Ashmed (Pteromalidae) nearly doubles life expectancy, respect to food-deprived wasps, when only host is provided, no effect is observable on *Heterospilus prosopidis* (Viereck) (Braconidae) and *Anisopteromalus calandrae* (Howard) (Pteromalidae) (Schmale et al. 2001). In contrast, host feeding is fundamental to increase the longevity of the eupelmid parasitoid *Eupelmus vuilletti* (Crawford), mainly due to carbohydrates obtained during host feeding, over lipids and proteins (Giron et al. 2004). Similarly, the presence of host increase adult longevity by itself in *Neochrysocharis formosa* (Westwood) (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae), but the provisioning of honey greater improves wasp lifespan (Liu et al. 2015). Indeed, while non-host food (10% honey solution) increases levels of gut sugar, body sugar and glycogen of *Diglyphus isaea* Walker (Hymenoptera:

Eulophidae) females, host-feeding is related to higher levels of lipids, suggesting the essential connection of this kind of feeding to lipid assimilation (Zhang et al. 2011).

### ***Artificial diets***

Artificial diet suitability has been widely investigated to select food supplements in the field or in the mass rearing. The encyrtid parasitoid *Ooencyrtus nezarae* Ishii naturally uses different kind of food sources, as floral and extra-floral nectars and honeydews (Teraoka and Numata 2000). However, when female wasps are fed continuously with a single food source during autumn, none of them overwinter (Teraoka and Numata 2000). Various artificial diets were proposed to improve longevity, but, even if honey, glucose, galactose and sucrose were profitable supplies for wasps, none of the artificial diets had greater impact respect to natural foods (Teraoka and Numata 2000). Furthermore, Bautista et al. (2001) also investigated the consequence of honey, maple syrup, molasses, sugar cane and ripe coffee on *Fopius arisanus* (Sonan) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) adult longevity, highlighting the unsuitability of sugar cane to prolong parasitoid life (Bautista et al. 2001). On the other hand, Zamek et al. (2013) found that sugar cane diets prolong adult life of *Diachasmimorpha tryoni* females (Cameron) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) 50% more than honey and golden syrup.

Honey is presumably the most studied artificial diet for parasitoid, since it is palatable and cheap. Provision of honey solutions boost mymarid and trichogrammatid parasitoid longevity similarly to flower and extra-flower nectars and even more than honeydew (Irvin et al. 2007; Tunçbilek et al. 2012). Good examples of parasitoid

species which impressively benefit of artificial diet are the ectoparasitoid *Mastrus*  
*ridibundus* (Gravenhorst) (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) and the endoparasitoids  
*Trichogramma carverae* Oatman and Pinto and *T. brassicae*, which respectively respond  
to honey diet decoupling (Bezemer et al. 2005) or doubling their life expectancy (Gurr  
and Nicol 2000). Overall, honey solution, as well as dried grape extract, which present  
high sugar and low protein content, are able to greatly prolong life of the encyrtid  
parasitoid *Acerophagus papayae* Noyes and Schauff (Divya et al. 2011). Indeed, the  
addition of protein yeast hydrolysate to sugary sources acts as feed-deterrent and is  
toxic to *F. arisanus* wasps (Bautista et al. 2001). Also the hyperparasitoids *Lysibia nana*  
Gravenhorst and *Gelis agilis* Fabricius (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae, Cryptinae)  
benefit from honey diet over a mimic honey composed of pure saccharides (Harvey et  
al. 2012). The latter result suggests that the amino acids and vitamins present on the  
honey, as well as other components, are also responsible of its positive effect on  
parasitoid longevity. Furthermore, no differences on longevity between male and  
female, as well as between virgin and mated wasps, are recorded for the braconids *C.*  
*plutellae* and *Phanerotoma franklini* Gahan when fed on artificial solution of sucrose  
(20%) or honey (50%), suggesting that these two diets have similar nutritional value  
and that food may quickly replace the energy spent for reproduction (Sisterson and  
Averill 2002; Mitsunaga et al. 2004).

On contrast to the majority of parasitic wasp species, honey provisioning does  
not alter longevity of the aphelinid parasitoids *E. melanoscutus* and *E. formosa*. The  
adult life of these hymenopterans ranges from 1 to 7 days, and presumably the energy  
intake at the emergence is of pivotal importance for the longevity of these wasps (Zang  
and Liu 2010).

Fruit exudates can be also exploited as food sources by parasitoids. [Sivinski et al. \(2006\)](#) noticed that adult *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) can exploit diets of fruit juice or fruit pulp (e.g. orange or peach), with comparable lifespans to those achieved on honey diet. In contrast, simple guava juice administration reduces longevity, by a decreasing of total sugars and glycogen levels, both for *D. longicaudata* and for the figitid *Aganaspis pelleranoi* (Brèthes) ([Narváez et al. 2012](#)).

Sugar composition of artificial diet can deeply influence adult parasitoid longevity. [Özalp and Emre \(2001\)](#) investigated the effect of 23 carbohydrates, including mono-, di-, tri- and polysaccharides, on the survival of the parasitoid *Pimpla turionellae* L. (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). The best results were achieved with sucrose diet while some pure monosaccharides (e.g. fructose and galactose) showed detrimental effects ([Özalp and Emre 2001](#)). Similarly, the ichneumonid *D. semiclausum* exploits sucrose, maltose, glucose and melibiose, while raffinose, lactose and melezitose have no effect on adult longevity ([Winkler et al. 2005](#)). Conversely, the egg parasitoid *A. iole* is able to exploit a broad range of sugars, including those from nectar and honeydew, and it shows the higher acceptance rate for the homopteran honeydew sugar trehalulose, with comparable results to sucrose and maltose ([Williams and Roane 2007](#)). However, *A. iole* survival is generally greater when provisioned with a mixture of the major nectar sugars (sucrose, glucose, and fructose) than with other suitable saccharides ([Williams and Roane 2007](#)).

Many parasitoids do not accumulate lipids as adults and do not replenish lipid levels after emergence from their host ([Olson et al. 2000](#); [Giron and Casas 2003](#); [Visser et al. 2010](#)). However, addition of lipids (i.e. olive oil) in the diet increases *C. glomerata*



female lipid levels, but the survival is negatively affected in both males and females in presence of olive oil, whose toxicity increases with concentration (Visser and Ellers 2012).

Similarly to hymenopteran parasitoids, also dipteran ones can improve their life expectancy in response to an appropriate adult nutrition. *Trichopoda giacomellii* (Blanchard) (Diptera: Tachinidae) increases its longevity of over three times when raisins are added to a water-only diet (Coombs 1997). Furthermore, Chen et al. (2005) investigated longevity variations in male and female of *Pseudacteon tricuspidis* (Borgmeier) (Diptera: Phoridae) according to exposure to sugar source and temperature. Both males and females lived longer when fed on sugar sources than when sugar-starved, irrespective of temperature (Chen et al. 2005).

#### ***Effect of food concentration and frequency***

Concentration and availability of the food sources in nature vary greatly depending on biotic factors and environmental conditions (Corbet et al. 1979). Usually, life span of sugar fed parasitoids increases with sugar concentration up to a limit (Azzouz et al. 2004; Ellers et al. 2011). In a laboratory assay with five concentrations from 0 to 70% (w/v) solution of glucose-fructose (1:1), *A. ervi* reached the maximum longevity when males and females feed on a 70%. The parasitoid wasps *Asobara tabida* Förster (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and *Trichopria drosophilae* Perkins (Hymenoptera: Diapriidae) consume the same intake of differentially concentrated sucrose solutions, but they display detrimental effects when provided with a 100% dilution (w/v), while the higher longevity has been recorded for the 80% sucrose solution. Presumably, wasps

do not differentiate intake on the basis of the amount of calories consumed, but just on the volume of their stomach, leading to negative effect when ingesting high-concentrated foods (Ellers et al. 2011). The ectoparasitoid *Catolaccus grandis* (Burks) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) also benefits of low-concentrated sugar solution (Morales-Ramos et al. 1996). The viscosity of highly concentrated sugar solutions may also affect the ingestion facility of parasitoids. Intermediate concentrations revealed to greater contribute to *P. lounsburyi* longevity (Williams et al. 2015), increasing the time spent searching for hosts and reducing resting and feeding periods, when wasps are more subjected to predator attacks (Völkl and Kroupa 1997; Lightle et al. 2010).

The value of a single meal can be crucial for survival when sources are spatially or temporally scarce. Different concentrations of *Brassica* flower nectar act differentially on the braconid *C. rubecula* when a single honey meal is offered, with greater benefits when sugar concentration is just below 50% (Siekmann et al. 2001). Timing and sugar concentration of a single feeding activity may also influence *Psytalia lounsburyi* (Silvestri) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) longevity, increasing the survival chances from 32.3% to 95.4% compared to water control after 2 days (Williams et al. 2015). Furthermore, parasitoids exposed to longer food-deprivation periods show longest feeding durations, and thus major energy intakes (Williams et al. 2015).

Feeding frequency is crucial for parasitoid survivorship. Overall, daily food provisioning produces major longevity benefits, respect to longer feeding intervals (Lee and Heimpel 2008a). For example, *T. platneri* wasps prefer honey or sugars daily nutrition supplies respect to longer feeding intervals, mainly to overcome the evaporation of sugar solutions. However, the addition of stabilizing agents does not reduce evaporation nor enhance adult longevity (McDougall and Mills 1997). In this

scenario, it is raising the hypothesis that dietary restriction, in absence of strong malnutrition, may prolong invertebrates' life (Ellers et al. 2011). Proovigenic parasitoid species, which emerge with an egg-load ready for oviposition (Jervis et al. 2001) and which present a relatively simple diet, mainly or exclusively constituted by carbohydrates (Wäckers 2001), can exploit dietary restriction. For *Macrocentrus grandii* (Goidanich) a constant supply of sugars is not necessary to achieve the maximum survivorship (Fadamiro and Heimpel 2001). Indeed, the maximum level of gut sugars is obtained after a single day of food provisioning and decreases substantially one day post-feeding, suggesting that, during starvation intervals, gut sugars are mobilized to maintain constant the level of parasitoid body sugars (Fadamiro and Heimpel 2001). Nevertheless, no beneficial effect of caloric restriction in the intermittent feeding has been recorded so far, since *ad libitum* and daily provisioning of food positively influence the wasps' lifespan (Azzouz et al. 2004; Wu et al. 2008; Ellers et al. 2011). As infrequent feeding opportunities seem to have limited benefits, the habitat manipulation and the mass rearing programs should provide a continuous supply of sugar or nectars to parasitoids.

### **Impact of the diet on host searching**

Host searching is a key behavior, which should be efficient and reduce the parasitoid exposure time to predation. Indeed, for parasitic wasps, food and host sources are often spatially distant. Whereas, host feeding and host honeydew are strictly dependent on host presence, many other suitable food sources, as plant nectars and non-

host honeydew, are not always associated with host and parasitic wasps need to move between different microhabitats during their foraging activities.

Nutrition may positively alter parasitoid physiology, reflecting on responsiveness to different olfactory sources and orientation toward the host, but likely up to a limit. For example, the endoparasitoid *Apanteles aristoteliae* Viereck (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) increases its nutritional state when feeds on sugar-rich sources. However, females with the highest levels of sugars are less prone to make a choice in olfactometer bioassays, while wasps with intermediate level are more expected to orient toward the host (Lightle et al. 2010). In other species, on contrast, females do not profit from nutrition, since starvation does not decrease searching ability (Gomez et al. 2012), usually preferring host cues to food (Pietrantuono et al. 2012).

High abundance of rich food sources is typically associated with an increasing of searching ability respect to the absence of nutrient supply (Takasu and Hirose 1991). Indeed, food provisioning could reduce time spent to locate and accept the hosts, by increasing parasitoid mobility and sensitivity to olfactory cues, but also host acceptance itself (Sisterson and Averill 2002). The frequency of food administration plays a pivotal role on olfactory orientation in parasitic wasps. In wind tunnel assays, female wasps modify their preferences toward host- and flower-associated odors in relation to their feeding status. While well-fed wasps prefer host stimuli, host and food stimuli seem equally attractive for hungry females, which employ more time to start searching behavior and to locate a cue (Jacob and Evans 2001, Siekmann et al. 2004).

Among parasitic wasps, flight ability is generally related to the presence of peculiar diet components, which may alter parasitoid in-flight orientation. The main fuel source employed for flight seems to be glycogen. Indeed, *Venturia canescens* (Grav.)

(Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) wasps decreased their glycogen content during flight, and females consume about the 50% of their glycogen storage in one hour of active flight, while glucose, protein and lipid remain constant (Amat et al. 2012). Furthermore, an arrhenotokous strain, which flies more frequently between host and food, has a higher glycogen load at emergence compared to thelytokous strain, reflecting also on a higher flight speed (Amat et al. 2012). Wanner et al. (2006) reported that *C. glomerata* females showed different flight ability according to source type, whereas no differences were noted for males. Furthermore, *ad libitum* feeding on *Anethum graveolens*, as well as on honey solution, increases the total distance flown, the number and the duration of flights completed by female parasitoids. Conversely, other diets have lower or none effects on parasitoid flight behavior (Wanner et al. 2006). Contrasting findings were instead reported for proovigenic species. Indeed, while synovigenic wasps need food provisioning for both somatic and reproductive functions, nutrition has a moderate impact on proovigenic females, which could not benefit from food supply and could eventually rely on their larval energy storage to respond to maintenance and locomotion (Fischbein et al. 2011).

In field condition, the interaction between nutritional state of parasitoids and the presence of food sources may influence the foraging behavior of females. In small corn and soybean plots without food sources, well-fed *Microplitis croceipes* (Cresson) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) wasps spend more time for host searching and parasitize a higher number of host rather than unfed ones (Takasu and Lewis 1995). However, when supplemental food sources are provided, unfed females are more ready to feed on it and start immediately to search and parasitize hosts (Takasu and Lewis 1995). Similarly, in citrus groves, Tena et al. (2015) found that *A. melinus* females lay more eggs in trees

where artificial sugars are provided than in control ones, suggesting an increase of searching ability.

### **Impact of adult diet on parasitoid offspring**

It is acknowledged that carbohydrate rich diets enhance the lifespan fecundity of parasitoids under laboratory condition (Jervis et al. 1996; Wäckers 2005), while lipids and proteins, either from diet and teneral reserves, are usually necessary for successful productions of the eggs (Ellers and van Alphen 1997). Furthermore, egg hatching and parasitization ability are also processes depending on nutritional status of female parasitoids, and the balanced acquisition of nutrients has great influence also on the size and the number of egg produced (Wheeler 1996; Harvey et al. 2012).

### ***Egg load, maturation and resorption***

Egg maturation in female parasitoids is considered a fitness-related parameter, which may influence the reproductive potential of biological control agents and thus population dynamics of hosts. However, different diets may affect the lifetime egg maturation patterns of synovigenic parasitoid species, whose females emerge with no or few mature oocytes, maturing the remaining eggs throughout their adult life. In contrast, for proovigeneic species, whose females emerge with their set of eggs completely mature, the effect of diet is almost insignificant (Jervis et al. 2001). The effect of sugar-rich diet on lifetime egg maturation patterns of synovigenic species, both in presence or absence of host prey, has been widely investigated. Typically, parasitoid egg load

depends on the diet provided to adult females, as reported for the parasitoid *P. cerealellae* (Onagbola et al. 2007). Honey is usually the most effective sugar source to promote egg maturation (Hogervorst et al. 2007a; Hopkinson et al. 2013), and when it is replaced with sugar-poor diets, ovaries of gravid *F. arisanus* females show deterioration, by decreasing egg load from over 100 eggs to less than 70 immediately before their death (Bautista et al. 2001). The maximum egg load of the ichneumonid parasitoid *B. curculionis* greater benefits from honey (+15%) than from honeydew excreted by the pea aphid, *Acyrtosiphon pisum* (Harris) (England and Evans 1997). Nevertheless, distinct parasitoid species can differently benefit from artificial diets, as noted for hymenopteran parasitoids of tephritids. Thus, although the egg load of *D. longicaudata* is not altered by diet, *A. pelleranoi* has higher egg loads when fed on honey or pollen than on juice (Narváez et al. 2012). Similarly, egg production is improved by various pure carbohydrates solutions in *P. turionellae*, whereas galactose and trehalose have a detrimental effect (Özalp and Emre 2001). Apart from the intrinsic quality of food, its availability over time can also affect fitness-related traits and may alter egg maturation. Ellers et al. (2011) investigated the effect of dietary restriction (i.e. diet dilution and intermittent feeding) on *A. tabida* and *T. drosophilae*, nonetheless no effect of dietary regime is recorded on fecundity of both species.

Parasitoids feeding with diets lacking in sugars generally have to accept compromises, and thus to relocate energy, to mature eggs. For example, starved *Mastrus ridibundus* (Gravenhorst) (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) wasps reduce their reproductive potential by decreasing egg size and maturation, as well as the number of attacked host (Bezemer et al. 2005). To economize energy for egg maturation, parasitoids can produce smaller and nutrient poor eggs, can use reserve energy obtained

during immature development, and can minimize energy employed for other activities (Rivero and Casas 1999; Olson et al. 2000; Jervis et al. 2008). In addition, body size, generally reflects the putative energetic reservoirs. Indeed, the egg maturation, either when nutrition is provided or not, is higher in largest *M. rudibundus* females respect to smallest ones (Bezemer et al. 2005). In contrast to general patterns, maturation rates are not correlated with size in *Trichogramma nubilale* Ertle & Davis (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae), thus implying that size-related differences are attributable to first day's egg load rather than to maturation rate in this species (Olson and Andow 1998). Unlike previous reports, adult females of the solitary koinobiont *I. leucospoides* do not increase their post-emergence egg maturation according to food supply. However, this synovigenic species shows a high ovigeny index (the ratio between the initial egg load and the potential lifetime fecundity), which is more similar to those of proovigenic species respect to synovigenic, thus adult feeding has not effect on egg production (Fischbein et al. 2013).

Usually, the rate of egg resorption is higher for starved wasps (Jervis and Kidd 1986; Antolin and Williams 1989; Heimpel et al. 1997; Stokkebo and Hardy 2000), since females generally increase life expectancy and the eventuality of find suitable food sources (Collier 1995; Heimpel et al. 1997). Several parasitoids species can resorb eggs to improve their lifespan when exposed to water diet (Heimpel et al. 1997; Lee and Heimpel 2008b; Richard and Casas 2009). Nevertheless, when egg resorption is not allowed or does not considerably raises life expectancy, the increasing of egg maturation by starved wasps may be an advantageous adaptive strategy to improve the lifetime fecundity (Roitberg et al. 1992; Fletcher et al. 1994). For instance, starved *Macrocentrus cingulum* (=grandii) Brischke (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) females have



a significant higher number of egg load respect to sugar-fed ones, since they no evidence egg resorption, which is recorded for well-fed wasps (Olson et al. 2000). Similarly, during the early adult life of the braconid *Microplitis rufiventris* Kokujev, potential fecundity seems subjected to feeding status, since higher rates of egg maturation are related to starved wasps, which potentially compensate shorter life (Hegazi et al. 2013). In contrast, when lacking both suitable food and host, starved females may invest their residual energy in locomotion to find a more profitable microhabitat.

Host hemolymph absorbed through host feeding may also play a pivotal role in egg maturation, usually increasing egg maturation rate and deterring egg resorption. The synovigenic *O. nezarae* is a concurrent host feeder, usually exploiting this nutritional source before oviposit. Females emerge with no mature eggs, but they mature two eggs after a single host feeding (Aung et al. 2012). Furthermore, host feeding is not crucial but helpful to enhance egg maturation in *A. melinus*, *Metaphycus flavus* Howard and *M. luteolus* Timberlake, since the host meal contributes to egg maturation just when coupled with honey supplement (Heimpel et al. 1997, Kapranas and Luck 2008). Some amino acids and minerals readily available in host hemolymph are found to be essential for egg maturation in an ichneumonid parasitoid (Bracken 1965), whereas protein supplementation seems to have no impact on trichogrammatid species (Ashley and Gonzalez 1974). Also Giron et al. (2004) investigated the effect of a single host-meal on parasitic wasp egg production. Females of *E. vuilletti* obtained energy to produce just 1.53 eggs per host meal, due to the low lipid content of hemolymph (Giron et al. 2004). Conversely, the strong synovigenic parasitoid *D. isaea* improves its potential fecundity respect to water supply and increase the lipid level when it feeds on hosts, highlighting

the presence of a lipogenesis pathway (Zhang et al. 2011), which is absent in other species (Olson et al. 2000). Among lipids, poly-unsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) are known to be suitable for energetic purposes, but most insect are unable to synthesize long-chain lipids (Visser et al. 2010). As reported for carbohydrates, different fatty acids may influence differently on parasitoid life-history traits. *P. turionellae* produces eggs differentially in response to several fatty acid free diets. While the absence of single fatty acid (palmitic, stearic, oleic and linolenic acid) does not influence the egg loads in comparison to fatty acid-rich or natural diets, fatty acid-free and linoleic acid-free diets dramatically decrease the potential fecundity (Nurullahoglu and Ergin 2009). The crucial importance of linoleic acid may be attributed to its role as structural component of membranes and as precursor of eicosanoids, which act as oviposition stimulants (Stanley-Samuelson 1994). Furthermore, host feeding is generally crucial for amino acid intakes. However, the addition of synthetic amino acids or host hemolymph to honey diets does not increase oogenesis in inexperienced *C. grandis*, whereas females with ovipositional experience increase their egg maturation rate when fed on the supplemented diet (Morales-Ramos et al. 1996). Furthermore, host-food does not prevent egg resorption in absence of a supplemental food source (Heimpel et al. 1997), even if it seems fundamental to prevent this phenomenon in the eulophid species *Tamarixia radiata* (Waterston) (Chen and Stansly 2014).

Generalist species, which usually feed in highly variable microhabitats, are typically more flexible and adaptable to nutrient and host deficiency than specialist species. Cicero et al. (2012) investigated the effect of different diets, including hosts of different quality, on four braconid species: *D. longicaudata*, *Doryctobracon crawfordi* (Viereck), *Utetes anastrephae* (Viereck) and *Opius hirtus* (Fisher). Whereas the

generalist *D. longicaudata* increases its egg load and maturation rate when feeds on different quality hosts, the specialist *O. hirtus* increases its egg load only when feeds on low quality hosts (Cicero et al. 2012).

Lastly, the potential fecundity can also be influenced by food provisioning in dipteran parasitoids. Feeding on raisins greatly increases the number of egg produced by *T. giacomellii* females, respect to water-fed insects, although no effect is detectable on number of ovarioles and body size (Coombs 1997).

### ***Fecundity, fertility and parasitism***

Here the effects of diet composition and frequency on (i) parasitism behavior (i.e. number of oviposition or eggs laid), (ii) fertility (i.e. the natural ability to produce offspring) (iii) and daily and lifetime fecundity (i.e. the actual reproductive rate) of parasitic wasps are reviewed.

Natural or artificial diets can alter the oviposition behavior of parasitoids, modifying either the propensity to oviposit or the number of egg laid. Overall, the supply of optimal sugar-rich diet to parasitoid female increases the oviposition behavior. The effect of nectar, honeydew, hosts and artificial diets on parasitism of the proovigenic parasitoid *Copidosoma koehleri* Blanchard (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) was investigated by Baggen and Gurr (1998). While host presence does not influence parasitization rate, supplemental nutrition of honey or suitable nectar plants nearly doubles the oviposition behaviors of *C. koehlerii* females (Baggen and Gurr 1998). Honey-based diet and sucrose syrup-based ones are reported as the best options to increase parasitization rates in *Trichogramma euproctidis* (Girault) (Hymenoptera:

Trichogrammatidae), probably due to the high suitability of this sugary source coupled to a quick access to food (Tunçbilek et al. 2012). Similarly, *O. nezarae* lays more eggs in presence of honey, suitable flower nectar or sugar (glucose, galactose and sucrose) nutrition, if compared to aphid honeydew (Teraoka and Numata 2000). Nevertheless, the exposure to hosts coupled with sugar or honey food increase parasitization rates in *C. plutellae*, whereas the total absence of supplemental food does not enhance oviposition behavior neither in presence of high host-density scenarios (Mitsunaga et al. 2004). Food deprivation affects also the ratio between host feeding and parasitism in the aphelinids *E. melanoscutus* and *E. formosa*. In both species, food-deprived wasps killed more hosts by feeding, while fed females had greater parasitization rates (Zang and Liu 2010). Similarly, also the eulophid parasitoid *N. formosa* benefits from honey supplement by reducing the host-feeding events in favor of oviposition, increasing also the total host mortality (Liu et al. 2015). Oviposition behavior may also be deterred by the direct exposure to food contaminants, such as lectins, reducing the number of total egg laid by the parasitoid *E. pennicornis* (Wakefield et al. 2010).

The presence of optimal food sources is considered helpful to improve parasitoid fertility and fecundity. Fertility of braconid parasitoid *O. hirtus* is improved when females feed on sugar-rich artificial diets (Cicero et al. 2012), whereas the administration of aphid honeydew to *T. ostrinae* females showed no effect on fertility of egg laid, even if the parasitization rate were higher (Fuchsberg et al. 2007). Host feeding strategy is also important to improve lipid levels and consequently egg fertility (Zhang et al. 2011) in those species that are able to exploit a lipidic nutrition during adulthood (Visser et al. 2010). Indeed, the presence of fatty acid in the diets administrated to *P. turionellae* wasps is strictly related to higher egg fertility and

hatching percentage (Nurullahoglu and Ergin 2009).

Fecundity and progeny production are heavily affected by diet composition, either by directly improving female reproductive mechanisms, as well as by prolonging adult lifespan and consequently parasitoid lifetime fecundity. In several mymarid and braconid parasitoids, the lifetime fecundity increases when reared on honey diet, mainly boosting daily fecundity (Jacob et al. 2006; Wu et al. 2008; Mutitu et al. 2013). Furthermore, the fecundity of *F. arisanus* is high when fed on honey, molasses, maple syrup and sugar cane, but daily fecundity varies accordingly to quality of diet (Bautista et al. 2001). Similarly, Tena et al. (2013b) compared the effect of five kinds of honeydew on the realized fecundity of *A. melinus*. The realized fecundity of females with access to low-value food, as the honeydew excreted by the aphid *Aphis spiraecola* Patch (Aphididae), was similar to unfed females. In contrast, females fed on honeydew of *Coccus hesperidum* L. (Coccidae) and *Icerya purchasi* Maskell (Monophlebidae), which contain high nutritional valuable carbohydrates, had a realized fecundity six times greater (Tena et al. 2013b). Even pollen may be exploited as food source to improve fecundity. *T. brassicae* wasps increase their lifetime fecundity when fed with pollen and water mixture respect to water-fed females, but since pollen is a sub-optimal food source, the addition of honey or the supply of honey solution determine greater positive effects on progeny production (Zhang et al. 2004). Low-quality diets can also lack impact on fecundity of females, with similar results to starved ones. Schmale et al. (2001) reported no differences in fecundity for the pteromalid parasitoids *D. basalis* and *A. calandrae*, as well as for the braconid *H. prosopidis*, three putative hymenopteran parasitoids of *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Say) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) when fed on sugarcane or without food supplements. On contrast, for all three tested species, females

produced more offspring when provided with a honey-based diet (Schmale et al., 2001). Although different nutritional sources generally alter parasitoid fecundity, this is not the case of the encyrtid *A. papayae*, which can equally benefit from honey solution, as well as from honey and yeast extract diet, dried grapes extract and fructose solution (Divya et al. 2011). Frequency of feeding may also play a role on wasps' fecundity. Indeed, *C. marginiventris* females produce more offspring when sugar-rich nutrition is continuously provided (Faria et al. 2008). Similarly, when fed *ad libitum*, *M. pulchricornis* females produced significantly more progeny than those subjected to lower feeding frequencies, increasing daily fecundity rather than extending their reproductive period (Wu et al. 2008).

Food supply may evoke different effect on daily offspring production and on duration of the reproductive period. *D. basalis* and *H. prosopidis* shows higher daily fecundity when allowed to feed on honey, respect to sugarcane, but no differences are recorded after 25 day on cumulative progeny production of *D. basalis* (Schmale et al. 2001). Similar results are described for *M. rufiventris* wasps, since starved females lay more eggs than do honey-fed ones in the first day after emergence, probably as consequence of exclusive energy allocation for reproductive activities (Hegazi et al. 2013). The impact of nutrition on lifetime fecundity depends also on the interaction with parasitoid physiology, mostly size and age. For example, the realized lifetime fecundity of *T. nubilale* varies according to the wasp's size, and the honey impact on fecundity is higher for small females, while no differences are reported for unfed wasps (Olson and Andow 1998). Parasitoid age plays a pivotal role for *P. cerealellae* wasps, which behave differently to various diet accordingly to age. Whereas the young females are not immediately conditioned by different diet composition or even by the absence of food,

the older females benefit from sugar feeding, increasing lifetime fecundity (Onagbola et al. 2007).

### ***Sex ratio***

Several parasitic wasps are able to adaptively control sex ratios, selecting offspring sex ratio in response to various factors, mainly represented by local mate competition and by host quality (Godfray and Werren 1996). Commonly, well-fed female parasitoids alter the progeny sex ratio producing a higher proportion of female offspring. Accordingly to Berndt and Wratten (2005), in absence of flower nectaries, *D. tasmanica* sex ratio is strongly male biased, but, when wasps feed on flowers, approximately an equal sex ratio was produced, with exclusive male production at the end of female's life. The diet of adult parasitoids is unlikely to affect inbreeding, superparasitism and host size, while it could play a role in mating success, sperm viability or fertilization, which may explain sex ratio variation. Well-fed *Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae* (Rondani) females also exploit nutrition by producing a higher proportion of female offspring (Hu et al. 2012). In absence of honey, progeny sex ratio is always female biased but with an increase of male percentage, while total progeny production is significantly lower (Hu et al. 2012). Furthermore, also *T. ostrinae* produces a higher proportion of females and a greater daily fecundity when parasitoids were fed with aphid honeydew compared to unfed wasps (Fuchsberg et al. 2007). Similarly, honeydew feeding increases the total progeny and the relative number of females in *Lipolexis scutellaris* Mackauer (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) (Singh et al. 2000). Conversely, the solitary egg parasitoid *C. noackae*, if allowed to feed on sugary-

rich diet, produces a slightly male-biased progeny sex ratio, in contrast to the female-based offspring produced when females are starved (Mutitu et al. 2013). Moreover, *A. papayae* sex ratio is not influenced by the presence or the kind of food sources, although the fecundity is increased (Divya et al. 2011).

## Conclusions and implications for biological control and IPM

A prerequisite for parasitoid effectiveness against pests in the field is nectar and/or honeydew accessibility, which typically enhance survival, dispersal and fertility (Wäckers et al 2008). Nevertheless, modern agriculture had led to agro-ecosystem landscape simplification, which contributes to an increase in pest density and to a reduction to alternative non-crop food sources available for natural enemies. Moreover, the commuting behavior (i.e. the return of a parasitoid to the original host location, after feeding elsewhere) (Jervis et al. 1993) is still poorly understood and, apart from the energetic costs and predatory risk, longer distances between food and host locations and the presence of alternative hosts may make commuting less profitable for parasitoids, decreasing host fidelity.

In this scenario, a better understanding of parasitoid feeding ecology is needed to identify suitable food sources in the field. Besides the well-known HPLC-sugar analysis of food sources available in the agro-ecosystems, molecular approaches may be helpful to identify both plant and animal-borne foods suitable for adult parasitoids (reviewed by Traugott et al. 2013). DNA-based techniques (i.e. next-generation sequencing and multiplex PCR) may be employed to investigate which kind of food is used by parasitoid in field, while stable isotope and fatty acids may be helpful to examine the



differential assimilation and metabolism of a broad range of food sources, highlighting the nutrient and energy fluxes in the food web and the presence of nutrient limitations. Indeed, for parasitoids the presence of adequate sugary sources is fundamental to ensure appropriate host parasitization rates. [Segoli and Rosenheim \(2013\)](#) reported that *Anagrus* parasitoids in unsprayed vineyards survive less than a day and the proportion of fed wasps is generally really low (about 10% on average). In addition, seasonal changes in nutrient availability and quality may also play a key role in parasitoid efficiency, as reported for *A. melinus*, which could be limited by honeydew paucity and accordingly could reduce its potential as biological control agents ([Tena et al. 2013a](#)).

To overcome occurred field limitations, the employment of artificial sugar sources, flowering cover crops and the management of flowering field margins may be helpful to improve natural populations and to enhance the performance of parasitic wasps in both conservative and augmentative biological control programs. Indeed, since crops usually provide nectar for a limited period, commonly the only source of non-host food are wildflowers, including weeds ([Altieri et al. 1977](#); [Rollin et al. 2016](#)), and honeydew ([Tena et al. 2016](#)). However, plant nectar and honeydew are not always profitable for parasitoids, since accessibility, quantity, quality and detectability of resources may be weak ([Bianchi and Wäckers 2008](#); [Tena et al. 2013a, b](#); [Tena et al. 2016](#)). Many parasitoid species exploit nectars from various cover crops and field margins' plants differently, accordingly to sugar composition and content ([Vattala et al. 2006](#)), and accessibility of nectars ([Idris and Grafius 1995](#); [Baggen and Gurr 1998](#)). Thus, the mere presence of flowering weeds or cover crops does not ensure food accessibility for parasitoids ([Lee et al. 2006](#)), since a great number of natural occurred plants are or not attractive or even repellent for them ([Heimpel and Jervis 2005](#);

Wäckers 2004). On this basis, intercrop plant varieties, with an increased number of nectaries, as well as with an extended nectar production period (Rollin et al. 2016), can highly benefit parasitoids, by providing high quality food and influencing various life traits (Jamont et al. 2013).

In the case of honeydew, its exploitation by parasitoids depends greatly on its quality. Therefore, it is essential to test the quality of the honeydew for parasitoids to determine whether this sugar source can increase parasitoid fitness (Tena et al. 2013b; Tena et al. 2016).

Furthermore, additional energy may be obtained by artificial sugar supplementation, as reported for *A. melinus* in field (Tena et al. 2015). Commercial food spray (Eliminade) has been proved to expand survival of some parasitoid species, but also among closely related species variations of nutrition benefits could be recorded (Irvin et al. 2007), while proteic supplement was not effective (Wade et al. 2008a). Nevertheless, the use of artificial sugar spray and food supplementation has not proven effective in many agroecosystems (Wade et al. 2008b). Since food is not always limiting in field, food supplements need to be appropriate and the nutrients suitable to enhance not only parasitoid longevity and fitness, but also their parasitization ability under field conditions (Sigsgaard et al. 2013). Concerning sugar-spray food, limited information has been provided for the effectiveness of other feeding devices containing mixture of honey and/or carbohydrates, mainly used in greenhouse crop systems (Shimoda et al. 2014). In some tri-trophic microhabitats, pest and parasitoid food resources are the same, and to control herbivorous populations various insecticidal-bait formulation containing food attractants are used. In this context, baits may also attract non-target species, as parasitoids, which may be killed by insecticides, but also may indirectly alter

785 their foraging behavior in presence of a competitive food source ([Wang et al. 2011](#)).

786       The major challenge, however, is the selection of plant species and/or artificial  
787 sugars that encourage beneficial arthropods but not the pest. Indeed, it has been reported  
788 that flowering plants exploited by hymenopteran parasitoids are also an appropriate  
789 food source for their lepidopteran pests, although nectar has a much greater effect on  
790 parasitoid longevity than on herbivores ([Winkler et al. 2009](#)). However, peculiar  
791 carbohydrates present in hemipteran hemolymphs (i.e. trehalose) are not beneficial for  
792 herbivores and could be applied as selective food source ([Winkler et al. 2005](#)).  
793 Moreover, beside the majority of research being focused on selectivity of food  
794 supplementation in a specific tri-tropic interaction ([Begum et al. 2006](#)), little attention  
795 has been given to the effect of sugar supplement toward non-target pests, which could  
796 benefit from additional food provisioning and make decrease crop yields ([Mitsunaga et](#)  
797 [al. 2006](#)). Furthermore, also hyperparasitoids may exploit supplemental nutrition,  
798 including honeydew saccharides that are not profitable for herbivorous pests, and this  
799 can boost their longevity and double their fecundity ([Harvey et al. 2012](#)). Even if  
800 hyperparasitoids lead to herbivore death, a large presence of these arthropods can cause  
801 the failure of a biological control program by dramatically decreasing parasitoid  
802 populations.

803       Finally, implementation of food sources under field condition, via artificial diet  
804 provisioning or flowering plant management, has to consider the innate preferences of  
805 parasitoids. Alternatively, also the ability to learn peculiar odor in association with  
806 sugary diets may be helpful to prime parasitoid responses during mass rearing or to  
807 increase food fidelity in field. Beside direct field application of food supplements,  
808 knowledge about diet influence on parasitoid life-history traits is essential to improve

mass-rearing techniques of biocontrol agents employed in inundative and  
augmentative programs. Prior the field release, it seems therefore advisable to supply  
insects with sugar-rich diets and host food to improve their performances in fields and  
to optimize parasitoid egg expenditure (Hougardy et al. 2005; Hougardy and Mills  
2006, 2007). Furthermore, little research on the effects of the adult diet on parasitoid  
courtship and mating traits has been conducted. Further studies on this issue, as well as  
on the employment of food sources as effective baits for parasitoid monitoring (Li et al.  
2015) are urgently needed to maximize the field effectiveness of these biological control  
agents.

#### **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

Funding: G. Benelli is sponsored by PROAPI (PRAF 2015) “Valutazione della  
qualità organolettica del polline d’api fresco sottoposto a differenti trattamenti di  
condizionamento” and University of Pisa, Department of Agriculture, Food and  
Environment (Grant ID: COFIN2015\_22). G. Giunti is funded by AGRIFOODTECH  
PON03 PE\_00090\_2 “Modelli sostenibili e nuove tecnologie per la valorizzazione delle  
olive e dell’olio extravergine di oliva prodotto in Calabria” (Grant ID:  
14/2016/Agraria). A. Tena is partially supported by an INIA project (Grant ID:  
RTA2014-00067) and the Conselleria d’Agricultura, Pesca i Alimentació de la  
Generalitat Valenciana. N. Desneux was supported by the FP7-PEOPLE-2013-IRSES  
program (project APhiWEB, grant no. 611810). Funders had no role in the study  
design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the  
manuscript.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

## References

- Altieri MA, van Schoonhoven A, Doll J (1977) The ecological role of weeds in insect pest management systems: A review illustrated by bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) cropping systems. Trop Pest Manag 23:195–205. doi: 10.1080/09670877709412428
- Amat I, Besnard S, Foray V, et al (2012) Fuelling flight in a parasitic wasp: Which energetic substrate to use? Ecol Entomol 37:480–489. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2311.2012.01388.x
- Antolin MF, Williams RL (1989) Host feeding and egg production in *Muscidifurax zaraptor* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). Fla Entomol 129-134.
- Ashley TR, Gonzalez D (1974) Effect of various food substances on longevity and fecundity of *Trichogramma*. Environ Entomol 3:169–171.
- Aung KSD, Takasu K, Ueno T, Takagi M (2012) Effect of host-feeding on reproduction in *Ooencyrtus nezarae* (Ishii) (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae), an egg parasitoid of the bean bug *Riptortus clavatus*. J Fac Agric Kyushu Univ 57:115–120.
- Azzouz H, Giordanengo P, Wäckers FL, Kaiser L (2004) Effects of feeding frequency and sugar concentration on behavior and longevity of the adult aphid parasitoid:

*Aphidius ervi* (Haliday) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). Biol Control 31:445–452.

doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2004.07.013

Baggen LR, Gurr GM (1998) The influence of food on *Copidosoma koehleri*

(Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae), and the use of flowering plants as a habitat

management tool to enhance biological control of potato moth, *Phthorimaea*

*operculella* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Biol Control 11:9–17. doi:

10.1006/bcon.1997.0566

Bautista RC, Harris EJ, Vargas RI (2001) The fruit fly parasitoid *Fopius arisanus*:

Reproductive attributes of pre-released females and the use of added sugar as a

potential food supplement in the field. Entomol Exp Appl 101:247–255. doi:

10.1023/A:1019261228788

Beach JP, Williams L, Hendrix DL, Price LD (2003) Different food sources affect the

gustatory response of *Anaphes iole*, an egg parasitoid of *Lygus* spp. J Chem Ecol

29:1203–1222. doi: 10.1023/A:1023837808291

Begum M, Gurr GM, Wratten SD, et al (2006) Using selective food plants to maximize

biological control of vineyard pests. J Appl Ecol 43:547–554. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-

2664.2006.01168.x

Berndt LA, Wratten SD (2005) Effects of alyssum flowers on the longevity, fecundity,

and sex ratio of the leafroller parasitoid *Dolichogenidea tasmanica*. Biol Control

32:65–69. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2004.07.014

Bezemer TM, Harvey JA, Mills NJ (2005) Influence of adult nutrition on the

relationship between body size and reproductive parameters in a parasitoid wasp.

Ecol Entomol 30:571–580. doi: 10.1111/j.0307-6946.2005.00726.x

Bianchi FJJA, Wäckers FL (2008) Effects of flower attractiveness and nectar

881 availability in field margins on biological control by parasitoids. Biol Control  
 882 46:400–408. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2008.04.010  
 883 Boggs CL (1992) Resource allocation: exploring connections between foraging and life  
 884 history. Funct Ecol 6:508. doi: 10.2307/2390047  
 885 Bracken GK (1965) Effects of dietary components on fecundity of the parasitoid  
 886 *Exeristes comstockii* (Cress.) (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). Can Entomol  
 887 97:1037–1041. doi: 10.4039/Ent971037-10  
 888 Briggs CJ, Nisbet RM, Murdoch WW, et al (1995) Dynamical effects of host-feeding in  
 889 parasitoids. J Anim Ecol 64:403. doi: 10.2307/5900  
 890 Canale A, Geri S, Benelli G (2014) Associative learning for host-induced fruit volatiles  
 891 in *Psytalia concolor* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), a koinobiont parasitoid of  
 892 tephritid flies. Bull Entomol Res 104:774–80. doi: 10.1017/S0007485314000625  
 893 Chen L, Onagbola EO, Fadamiro HY (2005) Effects of temperature, sugar availability,  
 894 gender, mating, and size on the longevity of phorid fly *Pseudacteon tricuspis*  
 895 (Diptera: Phoridae). Environ Entomol 34:246–255. doi: 10.1603/0046-225X-  
 896 34.2.246  
 897 Chen X, Stansly PA (2014) Effect of holding diet on egg formation of *Tamarixia*  
 898 *radiata* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae), parasitoid of *Diaphorina citri* (Hemiptera:  
 899 Psyllidae). Florida Entomol 97:491–495. doi: 10.1653/024.097.0220  
 900 Cicero L, Sivinski J, Aluja M (2012) Effect of host diet and adult parasitoid diet on egg  
 901 load dynamics and egg size of braconid parasitoids attacking *Anastrepha ludens*.  
 902 Physiol Entomol 37:177–184. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3032.2012.00833.x  
 903 Collier TR (1995) Host feeding, egg maturation, resorption, and longevity in the  
 904 parasitoid *Aphytis melinus* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). Ann Entomol Soc Am

88:206-214.

Coombs MT (1997) Influence of adult food deprivation and body size on fecundity and longevity of *Trichopoda giacomellii* : a South American parasitoid of *Nezara viridula*. Biol Control 8:119–123. doi: 10.1006/bcon.1996.0486

Corbet SA, Willmer PG, Beament JWL, et al (1979) Post-secretory determinants of sugar concentration in nectar. Plant Cell Environ 2:293–308. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.1979.tb00084.x

Cronin JT, Strong DR (1990) Biology of *Anagrus delicatus* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae), an egg parasitoid of *Prokelisia marginata* (Homoptera: Delphacidae). Ann Entomol Soc Am 83:846–854. doi: 10.1093/aesa/83.4.846

Divya S, Kalyanasundaram M, Karuppuchamy P (2011) Effect of adult nutrition on longevity and parasitisation efficiency of *Acerophagus papayae* Noyes and Schauff (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae). 25:316–319.

Douglas AE (2003) The nutritional physiology of *Aphids*. Adv Insect Phys 31:73–140. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2806(03)31002-1

Dulaurent AM, Rossi JP, Deborde C, et al (2011) Honeydew feeding increased the longevity of two egg parasitoids of the pine processionary moth. J Appl Entomol 135:184–194. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0418.2010.01547.x

Ellers J (1996) Fat and eggs: an alternative method to measure the trade-off between survival and reproduction in insect parasitoids. Neth J Zool 46: 227–235

Ellers J, Ruhe B, Visser B (2011) Discriminating between energetic content and dietary composition as an explanation for dietary restriction effects. J Insect Physiol 57:1670–1676. doi: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2011.08.020

Ellers J, van Alphen JJM (1997) Life history evolution in *Asobara tabida*: plasticity in



929 allocation of fat reserves to survival and reproduction. J Evol Biol 10:771–785.  
 930 doi: 10.1046/j.1420-9101.1997.10050771.x  
 931 England S, Evans EW (1997) Effects of pea aphid (Homoptera: Aphididae) honeydew  
 932 on longevity and fecundity of the alfalfa weevil *Bathyplectes curculionis*  
 933 (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). Environ Entomol 26:1437–1441.  
 934 Evans EW, England S (1996) Indirect interactions in biological control of insects: pests  
 935 and natural enemies in alfalfa. Ecol Appl 6:920-930.  
 936 Fadamiro HY, Heimpel GE (2001) Effects of partial sugar deprivation on lifespan and  
 937 carbohydrate mobilization in the parasitoid *Macrocentrus grandii* (Hymenoptera:  
 938 Braconidae). Ann Entomol Soc Am 94(6):909-916.  
 939 Faria CA, Wäckers FL, Turlings TCJ (2008) The nutritional value of aphid honeydew  
 940 for non-aphid parasitoids. Basic Appl Ecol 9:286–297. doi:  
 941 10.1016/j.baae.2007.02.001  
 942 Fischbein D, Bernstein C, Corley JC (2013) Linking reproductive and feeding strategies  
 943 in the parasitoid *Ibalia leucospoides*: Does feeding always imply profit? Evol Ecol  
 944 27:619–634. doi: 10.1007/s10682-012-9608-9  
 945 Fischbein D, Corley JC, Villacide JM, Bernstein C (2011) The Influence of food and  
 946 con-specifics on the flight potential of the parasitoid *Ibalia leucospoides*. J Insect  
 947 Behav 24:456–467. doi: 10.1007/s10905-011-9270-z  
 948 Fletcher JP, Hughes JP, Harvey IF (1994) Life expectancy and egg load affect  
 949 oviposition decisions of a solitary parasitoid. Proc Royal Soc London B 258(1352):  
 950 163-167.  
 951 Fuchsberg JR, Yong TH, Losey JE, et al (2007) Evaluation of corn leaf aphid  
 952 (*Rhopalosiphum maidis*; Homoptera: Aphididae) honeydew as a food source for

the egg parasitoid *Trichogramma ostrinae* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae).  
 Biol Control 40:230–236. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2006.10.009

Furtado C, Belo AF, Nunes FM, et al (2016) Evaluating potential olive orchard sugar  
 food sources for the olive fly parasitoid *Psytalia concolor*. BioControl. doi:  
 10.1007/s10526-016-9732-5

Giron D, Casas J (2003) Lipogenesis in an adult parasitic wasp. J Insect Physiol  
 49:141–147. doi: 10.1016/S0022-1910(02)00258-5

Giron D, Pincebourde S, Casas J (2004) Lifetime gains of host-feeding in a synovigenic  
 parasitic wasp. Physiol Entomol 29:436–442. doi: 10.1111/j.0307-  
 6962.2004.00414.x

Giron D, Rivero A, Mandon N, et al (2002). The physiology of host feeding in parasitic  
 wasps: implications for survival. Funct Ecol 16:750-757

Giunti G, Canale A, Messing RH, et al (2015) Parasitoid learning: current knowledge  
 and implications for biological control. Biol Control 90:208–219. doi:  
 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2015.06.007

Godfray HCJ, Werren JH (1996) Recent developments in sex ratio studies. Trends Ecol  
 Evol 11:59-63. doi: 10.1016/0169-5347(96)81043-3

Gomez J, Barrera JF, Liedo P, Valle J (2012) Influence of age and diet on performance  
 of *Cephalonomia stephanoderis* Betrem (Hymenoptera: Bethyridae) a parasitoid of  
 the coffee berry borer. Rev Bras Entomol 56:95–100.

Gurr GM, Nicol HI (2000) Effect of food on longevity of adults of *Trichogramma*  
*carverae* Oatman and Pinto and *Trichogramma nr brassicae* Bezdenko  
 (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae). Aust J Entomol 39:185–187. doi:  
 10.1046/j.1440-6055.2000.00159.x

977 Harvey JA, Cloutier J, Visser B, et al (2012) The effect of different dietary sugars and  
 978 honey on longevity and fecundity in two hyperparasitoid wasps. *J Insect Physiol*  
 979 58:816–823. doi: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2012.03.002  
 980 Hegazi E, Khafagi W, Schlyter F (2013) Egg maturation dynamics of the parasitoid  
 981 *Microplitis rufiventris*: Starvation speeds maturation in early life. *Physiol Entomol*  
 982 38:233–240. doi: 10.1111/phen.12027  
 983 Heimpel GE, Jervis MA (2005) Does floral nectar improve biological control by  
 984 parasitoids. In: Wäckers FL, van Rijn PCJ, Bruin J (eds) *Plant-Provided Food for*  
 985 *Carnivorous Insects: A Protective Mutualism and its Applications*. Cambridge  
 986 University Press, Cambridge, U.K, pp 267–304.  
 987 Heimpel GE, Rosenheim JA, Kattari D (1997) Adult feeding and lifetime reproductive  
 988 success in the parasitoid *Aphytis melinus*. *Entomol Exp Appl* 83:305–315. doi:  
 989 10.1046/j.1570-7458.1997.00185.x  
 990 Hirose Y, Mitsunaga T, Yano E, Goto C (2009) Effects of sugars on the longevity of  
 991 adult females of *Eretmocerus eremicus* and *Encarsia formosa* (Hymenoptera:  
 992 Aphelinidae), parasitoids of *Bemisia tabaci* and *Trialeurodes vaporariorum*  
 993 (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae), as related to their honeydew feeding and hos. *Appl*  
 994 *Entomol Zool* 44:175–181. doi: 10.1303/aez.2009.175  
 995 Hogervorst PAM, Wäckers FL, Romeis J (2007a) Effects of honeydew sugar  
 996 composition on the longevity of *Aphidius ervi*. *Entomol Exp Appl* 122:223–232.  
 997 doi: 10.1111/j.1570-7458.2006.00505.x  
 998 Hogervorst PAM, Wäckers FL, Romeis J (2007b) Detecting nutritional state and food  
 999 source use in field-collected insects that synthesize honeydew oligosaccharides.  
 1000 *Funct Ecol* 21:936–946.

1001 Hogervorst PAM, Wäckers FL, Woodring J, Romeis J (2009) Snowdrop lectin  
 1002 (Galanthus nivalis agglutinin) in aphid honeydew negatively affects survival of a  
 1003 honeydew- consuming parasitoid. Agric For Entomol 11:161–173. doi:  
 1004 10.1111/j.1461-9563.2008.00412.x  
 1005 Hopkinson JE, Zalucki MP, Murray DAH (2013) Honeydew as a source of nutrition for  
 1006 *Lysiphlebus testaceipes* (Cresson) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae): effect of adult diet  
 1007 on lifespan and egg load. Aust J Entomol 52:14–19. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-  
 1008 6055.2012.00875.x  
 1009 Hougardy E, Bezemer TM, Mills NJ (2005) Effects of host deprivation and egg  
 1010 expenditure on the reproductive capacity of *Mastrus ridibundus*, an introduced  
 1011 parasitoid for the biological control of codling moth in California. Biol Control 33:  
 1012 96– 106.  
 1013 Hougardy E, Mills NJ (2006) The influence of host deprivation and egg expenditure on  
 1014 the rate of dispersal of a parasitoid following field release. Biol Control 37: 206–  
 1015 213.  
 1016 Hougardy E, Mills NJ (2007) Influence of host deprivation and egg expenditure on the  
 1017 patch and host-finding behavior of the parasitoid wasp *Mastrus ridibundus*. J  
 1018 Insect Behav 20: 229-246. doi: 10.1007/s10905-007-9077-0  
 1019 Hu H-Y, Chen Z-Z, Duan B-S, et al (2012) Effects of female diet and age on offspring  
 1020 sex ratio of the solitary parasitoid *Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae* (Rondani)  
 1021 (Hymenoptera, Pteromalidae). Rev Bras Entomol 56:259–262. doi:  
 1022 10.1590/S0085-56262012005000028  
 1023 Idris a B, Grafius E (1995) Wildflowers as nectar sources for *Diadegma insulare*  
 1024 (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae), a parasitoid of diamondback moth (Lepidoptera:

Yponomeutidae). Environ Entomol 24:1726–1735.

Irvin NA, Hoddle MS, Castle SJ (2007) The effect of resource provisioning and sugar composition of foods on longevity of three *Gonatocerus* spp., egg parasitoids of *Homalodisca vitripennis*. Biol Control 40:69–79. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2006.09.005

Jacob HS, Evans EW (2004) Influence of different sugars on the longevity of *Bathyplectes curculionis* (Hym., Ichneumonidae). J Appl Entomol 128:316–320. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0418.2004.00849.x

Jacob HS, Evans EW (2000) Influence of carbohydrate foods and mating on longevity of the parasitoid *Bathyplectes curculionis* (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). Environ Entomol 29:1088–1095. doi: 10.1603/0046-225x-29.5.1088

Jacob HS, Evans EW (2001) Influence of food deprivation on foraging decisions of the parasitoid *Bathyplectes curculionis* (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). Ann Entomol Soc Am 94:605–611. doi: 10.1603/0013-8746(2001)094[0605:IOFDOF]2.0.CO;2

Jacob HS, Joder A, Batchelor KL (2006) Biology of *Stethynium* sp. (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae), a native parasitoid of an introduced weed biological control agent. Environ Entomol 35:630–636. doi: 10.1603/0046-225X-35.3.630

Jamont M, Crépellière S, Jaloux B (2013) Effect of extrafloral nectar provisioning on the performance of the adult parasitoid *Diaeretiella rapae*. Biol Control 65:271–277. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2013.01.010

Jervis MA, Ellers J, Harvey JA (2008) Resource acquisition, allocation, and utilization in parasitoid reproductive strategies. Annu Rev Entomol 53:361–385. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ento.53.103106.093433

Jervis MA, Heimpel GE, Ferns PN, et al (2001) Life-history strategies in parasitoid

wasps: a comparative analysis of “ovigeny.” J Anim Ecol 70:442–458. doi:  
10.1046/j.1365-2656.2001.00507.x

Jervis MA, Kidd NAC (1986) Host-feeding strategies in hymenopteran parasitoids. Biol  
Rev 61:395–434. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-185X.1986.tb00660.x

Jervis MA, Kidd NAC, Fitton MG, et al (1993) Flower-visiting by hymenopteran  
parasitoids. J Nat Hist 27:67–105. doi: 10.1080/00222939300770051

Jervis MA, Kidd NAC, Heimpel, G.E. (1996) Parasitoid adult feeding behaviour and  
biological control - a review.

Kapranas A, Luck RF (2008) Egg maturation, host feeding, and longevity in two  
*Metaphycus parasitoids* of soft scale insects. Biol Control 47:147–153.

Kidd NAC, Jervis MA (1991) Host-feeding and oviposition strategies of parasitoids in  
relation to host stage. Res Popul Ecol (Kyoto) 33:13–28. doi:  
10.1007/BF02514570

Kugimiya S, Shimoda T, Mcneil JN, Takabayashi J (2010) Females of *Cotesia vestalis*,  
a parasitoid of diamondback moth larvae, learn to recognise cues from aphid-  
infested plants to exploit honeydew. Ecol Entomol 35:538–541. doi:  
10.1111/j.1365-2311.2010.01207.x

Lenaerts M, Abid L, Paulussen C, et al. (2016). Adult parasitoids of honeydew-  
producing insects prefer honeydew sugars to cover their energetic needs. J Chem  
Ecol doi: 10.1007/s10886-016-0764-1

Lee JC, Andow DA, Heimpel GE (2006) Influence of floral resources on sugar feeding  
and nutrient dynamics of a parasitoid in the field. Ecol Entomol 31:470–480. doi:  
10.1111/j.1365-2311.2006.00800.x

Lee JC, Heimpel GE (2008a) Effect of floral nectar, water, and feeding frequency on

1073        *Cotesia glomerata* longevity. BioControl 53(2):289-294.

1074    Lee JC, Heimpel GE (2008b) Floral resources impact longevity and oviposition rate of a  
 1075        parasitoid in the field. J Anim Ecol 77:565–72. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-  
 1076        2656.2008.01355.x

1077    Lee JC, Heimpel GE, Leibe GL (2004) Comparing floral nectar and aphid honeydew  
 1078        diets on the longevity and nutrient levels of a parasitoid wasp. Entomol Exp Appl  
 1079        111:189–199. doi: 10.1111/j.0013-8703.2004.00165.x

1080    Lewis WJ, Stapel JO, Cortesero AM, Takasu K (1998) Understanding how parasitoids  
 1081        balance food and host needs: importance to biological control. Biol Control  
 1082        11:175–183. doi: 10.1006/bcon.1997.0588

1083    Li S, Tan X, Desneux N, et al. (2015) Innate positive chemotaxis to pollen from crops  
 1084        and banker plants in predaceous biological control agents: towards new field lures?  
 1085        Sci Rep 5:12729, doi: 10.1038/srep12729

1086    Lightle D, Ambrosino M, Lee JC (2010) Sugar in moderation: sugar diets affect short-  
 1087        term parasitoid behaviour. Physiol Entomol 35:179–185. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-  
 1088        3032.2009.00718.x

1089    Liu WX, Wang WX, Zhang YB, et al (2015) Adult diet affects the life history and host-  
 1090        killing behavior of a host-feeding parasitoid. Biol Control 81:58–64. doi:  
 1091        10.1016/j.biocontrol.2014.11.002

1092    Luo S, Michaud JP, Li J, et al (2013) Odor learning in *Microplitis mediator*  
 1093        (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) is mediated by sugar type and physiological state. Biol  
 1094        Control 65:207–211. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2013.02.010

1095    McDougall SJ, Mills NJ (1997) The influence of hosts, temperature and food sources on  
 1096        the longevity of *Trichogramma platneri*. Entomol Exp Appl 83:195–203. doi:

1097 10.1023/A:1002903720301

1098 Mitsunaga T, Mukawa S, Shimoda T, Suzuki Y (2006) The influence of food supply on  
 1099 the parasitoid against *Plutella xylostella* L. (Lepidoptera: Yponomeutidae) on the  
 1100 longevity and fecundity of the pea leafminer, *Chromatomyia horticola* (Goureau)  
 1101 (Diptera: Agromyzidae). Appl Entomol Zool 41:277–285. doi:  
 1102 10.1303/aez.2006.277

1103 Mitsunaga T, Shimoda T, Yano E (2004) Influence of food supply on longevity and  
 1104 parasitization ability of a larval endoparasitoid, *Cotesia plutellae* (Hymenoptera:  
 1105 Braconidae). Appl Entomol Zool 39:691–697. doi: 10.1303/aez.2004.691

1106 Mondy N, Corio-Costet M-F, Bodin A, et al (2006) Importance of sterols acquired  
 1107 through host feeding in synovigenic parasitoid oogenesis. J Insect Physiol 52:897–  
 1108 904. doi: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2006.03.007

1109 Morales-Ramos JA, Rojas MG, King EG (1996) Significance of adult nutrition and  
 1110 oviposition experience on longevity and attainment of full fecundity of *Catolaccus*  
 1111 *grandis* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). Ann Entomol Soc Am 89:555–563. doi:  
 1112 10.1093/aesa/89.4.555

1113 Mutitu EK, Garnas JR, Hurley BP, et al (2013) Biology and rearing of *Cleruchoides*  
 1114 *noackae* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae), an egg parasitoid for the biological control  
 1115 of *Thaumastocoris peregrinus* (Hemiptera: Thaumastocoridae). J Econ Entomol  
 1116 106:1979–1985. doi: 10.1603/EC13135

1117 Narváez A, Cancino J, Daza NC, Wyckhuys KAG (2012) Effect of different dietary  
 1118 resources on longevity, carbohydrate metabolism, and ovarian dynamics in two  
 1119 fruit fly parasitoids. Arthropod Plant Interact 6:361–374. doi: 10.1007/s11829-012-  
 1120 9188-1



1121 Nurullahoglu U, Ergin E (2009) Effects of dietary fatty acids on the fecundity of the  
 1122 endoparasitoid *Pimpla turionellae* L. (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). J Appl Biol  
 1123 Sci 3:109–115.

1124 Olson DL, Nechols JR (1995) Effects of squash leaf trichome exudates and honey on  
 1125 adult feeding, survival, and fecundity of the squash bug (Heteroptera: Coreidae)  
 1126 egg parasitoid *Gryon pennsylvanicum* (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae). Environ.  
 1127 Entomol. 24:454–458.

1128 Olson DM, Andow DA (1998) Larval Crowding and adult nutrition effects on longevity  
 1129 and fecundity of female *Trichogramma nubilale* Ertle & Davis (Hymenoptera:  
 1130 Trichogrammatidae). Environ Entomol 27:508–514. doi: 10.1093/ee/27.2.508

1131 Olson DM, Fadamiro H, Lundgren JG, Heimpel GE (2000) Effects of sugar feeding on  
 1132 carbohydrate and lipid metabolism in a parasitoid wasp. Physiol Entomol 25:17–  
 1133 26. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3032.2000.00155.x

1134 Olson DM, Rains GC, Meiners T, et al (2003) Parasitic wasps learn and report diverse  
 1135 chemicals with unique conditionable behaviors. Chem Senses 28:545–549.

1136 Onagbola EO, Fadamiro HY, Mbata GN (2007) Longevity, fecundity, and progeny sex  
 1137 ratio of *Pteromalus cerealellae* in relation to diet, host provision, and mating. Biol  
 1138 Control 40:222–229. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2006.10.010

1139 Özalp P, Emre I (2001) The effects of carbohydrates upon the survival and reproduction  
 1140 of adult female *Pimpla turionellae* L. (Hym., Ichneumonidae). J Appl Entomol  
 1141 125:177–180. doi: 10.1046/j.1439-0418.2001.00528.x

1142 Pietrantuono AL, Fernández-Arhex V, Jofré N, Corley JC (2012) Food and host  
 1143 searching decisions made by *Ibalia leucospoides* (Hymenoptera: Ibalidae), a  
 1144 parasitoid of *Sirex noctilio* (Hymenoptera: Siricidae). J Insect Behav 25:320–327.

doi: 10.1007/s10905-011-9301-9

Richard R, Casas J (2009) Stochasticity and controllability of nutrient sources in foraging: host-feeding and egg resorption in parasitoids. *Ecol Monogr* 79:465–483. doi: 10.1890/08-1566.1

Rivero A, Casas J (1999) Incorporating physiology into parasitoid behavioral ecology: the allocation of nutritional resources. *Res Popul Ecol (Kyoto)* 41:39–45. doi: 10.1007/PL00011981

Roitberg BD, Mangel M, Lalonde RG, et al. (1992) Seasonal dynamic shifts in patch exploitation by parasitic wasps. *Behav Ecol* 3(2): 156-165.

Rollin O, Benelli G, Benvenuti S, et al. (2016) Weed-insect pollinator networks as bioindicators of ecological sustainability in agriculture. A review. *Agron Sustain Develop* 36:8, doi: 10.1007/s13593-015-0342-x

Sato M, Takasu K (2000) Food odor learning by both sexes of the pupal parasitoid *Pimpla alboannulatus* Uchida (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). *J Insect Behav* 13:263–272.

Schmale I, Wäckers FL, Cardona C, Dorn S (2001) Control potential of three hymenopteran parasitoid species against the bean weevil in stored beans: the Effect of adult parasitoid nutrition on longevity and progeny production. *Biol Control* 21:134–139. doi: 10.1006/bcon.2000.0911

Segoli M, Rosenheim JA (2013) Spatial and temporal variation in sugar availability for insect parasitoids in agricultural fields and consequences for reproductive success. *Biol Control* 67:163–169. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2013.07.013

Shimoda T, Mitsunaga T, Uefune M, et al (2014) A food-supply device for maintaining *Cotesia vestalis*, a larval parasitoid of the diamondback moth *Plutella xylostella*, in

1169 greenhouses. *BioControl* 59:681–688. doi: 10.1007/s10526-014-9611-x

1170 Siekmann G, Keller MA, Tenhumberg B (2004) The sweet tooth of adult parasitoid

1171 *Cotesia rubecula*: Ignoring hosts for nectar. *J Insect Behav* 17:459–476. doi:

1172 10.1023/B:JOIR.0000042535.76279.c7

1173 Siekmann G, Tenhumberg B, Keller MA (2001) Feeding and survival in parasitic

1174 wasps: sugar concentration and timing matter. *Oikos* 95:425–430. doi:

1175 10.1034/j.1600-0706.2001.950307.x

1176 Sigsgaard L, Betzer C, Naulin C (2013) The effect of floral resources on parasitoid and

1177 host longevity: Prospects for conservation biological control in strawberries. *J*

1178 *Insect Sci* 13:1–17. doi: 10.1673/031.013.10401

1179 Singh R, Singh K, Upadhyay BS (2000) Honeydew as a food source for an aphid

1180 parasitoid *Lipolexis scutellaris* Mackauer (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *J Adv Zool*

1181 21:77–83.

1182 Sisterson MS, Averill AL (2002) Costs and benefits of food foraging for a braconid

1183 parasitoid. *J Insect Behav* 15:571–588. doi: 10.1023/A:1016389402543

1184 Sivinski J, Aluja M, Holler T (2006) Food sources for adult *Diachasmimorpha*

1185 *longicaudata*, a parasitoid of tephritid fruit flies: effects on longevity and

1186 fecundity. *Entomol Exp Appl* 118:193–202. doi: 10.1111/j.1570-

1187 7458.2006.00379.x

1188 Soyelu OJ (2013) Suitability of a novel diet for a parasitic wasp, *Cotesia plutellae*. *J*

1189 *Insect Sci* 13:86. doi: 10.1673/031.013.8601

1190 Stanley-Samuelson DW (1994) The biological significance of prostaglandins and

1191 related eicosanoids in invertebrates. *Am Zool* 34:589–598. doi:

1192 10.1093/icb/34.6.589

1193 Starý P (1969) Biology of aphid parasites (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae) with respect to  
 1194 integrated control. *Anzeiger für Schädlingskunde* 42:190-190.  
 1195 Stokkebo S, Hardy IC (2000) The importance of being gravid: egg load and contest  
 1196 outcome in a parasitoid wasp. *Anim Behav* 59:1111-1118. doi:  
 1197 10.1006/anbe.2000.1407  
 1198 Takasu K, Hirose Y (1991) Host searching behavior in the parasitoid *Ooencyrtus*  
 1199 *nezarae* Ishii (Hymenoptera:Encyrtidae) as influenced by non-host food  
 1200 deprivation. *Appl Entomol Zool* 26:415–417. doi: 10.1303/aez.26.415  
 1201 Takasu K, Lewis WJ (1993) Host- and food-foraging of the parasitoid *Microplitis*  
 1202 *croceipes*: learning and physiological state effects. *Biol Control* 3:70–74. doi:  
 1203 10.1006/bcon.1993.1011  
 1204 Takasu K, Lewis WJ (1995) Importance of adult food sources to host searching of the  
 1205 larval parasitoid *Microplitis croceipes*. *Biol Control* 5:25–30.  
 1206 Tena A, Pekas A, Cano D, et al (2015) Sugar provisioning maximizes the biocontrol  
 1207 service of parasitoids. *J Appl Ecol* 52:795–804. doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.12426  
 1208 Tena A, Pekas A, Wäckers FL, Urbaneja A (2013a) Energy reserves of parasitoids  
 1209 depend on honeydew from non-hosts. *Ecol Entomol* 38:278–289. doi:  
 1210 10.1111/een.12018  
 1211 Tena A, Llácer E, Urbaneja A (2013b) Biological control of a non-honeydew producer  
 1212 mediated by a distinct hierarchy of honeydew quality. *Biol Control* 67:117-122.  
 1213 Tena A, Wäckers FL, Heimpel GE, et al. (2016) Parasitoid nutritional ecology in a  
 1214 community context: the importance of honeydew and implications for biological  
 1215 control. *Curr Opin Insect Sci* 14:100-104.  
 1216 Teraoka T, Numata H (2000) Effect of feeding on reproduction and overwintering in

1217 female adults of *Ooencyrtus nezarae* Ishii (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae). Appl  
 1218 Entomol Zool 35:361–7.

1219 Tompkins JML, Wratten SD, Wäckers FL (2010) Nectar to improve parasitoid fitness in  
 1220 biological control: Does the sucrose:hexose ratio matter? Basic Appl Ecol 11:264–  
 1221 271. doi: 10.1016/j.baae.2009.12.010

1222 Tunçbilek AŞ, Çınar N, Canpolat Ü (2012) Effects of artificial diets and floral nectar on  
 1223 longevity and progeny production of *Trichogramma euproctidis* Girault  
 1224 (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae). Türkiye Entomoloji Derg 36:183–191.

1225 Varennes YD, Boyer S, Wratten SD (2016) Nectar from oilseed rape and floral  
 1226 subsidies enhances longevity of an aphid parasitoid more than does host  
 1227 honeydew. BioControl 61(6):631–638.

1228 Vattala HD, Wratten SD, Phillips CB, Wäckers FL (2006) The influence of flower  
 1229 morphology and nectar quality on the longevity of a parasitoid biological control  
 1230 agent. Biol Control 39:179–185. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2006.06.003

1231 Visser B, Ellers J (2008) Lack of lipogenesis in parasitoids: a review of physiological  
 1232 mechanisms and evolutionary implications. J Insect Physiol 54(9):1315–22. doi:  
 1233 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2008.07.014

1234 Visser B, Ellers J (2012) Effects of a lipid-rich diet on adult parasitoid income resources  
 1235 and survival. Biol Control 60:119–122. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2011.11.008

1236 Visser B, Le Lann C, den Blanken FJ, et al (2010) Loss of lipid synthesis as an  
 1237 evolutionary consequence of a parasitic lifestyle. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A  
 1238 107:8677–82. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1001744107

1239 Völkl W, Kroupa A. (1997) Effects of adult mortality risks on parasitoid foraging  
 1240 tactics. Anim Behav 54:349–359. doi: 10.1006/anbe.1996.0462

1241 Vollhardt IMG, Bianchi FJJA, Wäckers FL, et al (2010) Nectar vs. honeydew feeding  
 1242 by aphid parasitoids: Does it pay to have a discriminating palate? *Entomol Exp*  
 1243 *Appl* 137:1–10. doi: 10.1111/j.1570-7458.2010.01025.x  
 1244 Wäckers FL (1994) The effect of food deprivation on the innate visual and olfactory  
 1245 preferences in the parasitoid *Cotesia rubecula*. *J Insect Physiol* 40:641–649. doi:  
 1246 10.1016/0022-1910(94)90091-4  
 1247 Wäckers FL (1999) Gustatory response by the hymenopteran parasitoid *Cotesia*  
 1248 *glomerata* to a range of nectar and honeydew sugars. *J Chem Ecol* 25:2863–2877.  
 1249 doi: 10.1023/A:1020868027970  
 1250 Wäckers FL (2000) Do oligosaccharides reduce the suitability of honeydew for  
 1251 predators and parasitoids? A further facet to the function of insect-synthesized  
 1252 honeydew sugars. *Oikos* 90:197–201. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0706.2000.900124.x  
 1253 Wäckers FL (2001) A comparison of nectar- and honeydew sugars with respect to their  
 1254 utilization by the hymenopteran parasitoid *Cotesia glomerata*. *J Insect Physiol*  
 1255 47:1077–1084.  
 1256 Wäckers FL (2004) Assessing the suitability of flowering herbs as parasitoid food  
 1257 sources: Flower attractiveness and nectar accessibility. *Biol Control* 29:307–314.  
 1258 doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2003.08.005  
 1259 Wäckers FL (2005) Suitability of (extra-)floral nectar, pollen and honeydew as insect  
 1260 food sources. In: Wäckers FL, van Rijn PCJ, Bruin J (eds) *Plant-Provided Food for*  
 1261 *Carnivorous Insects: A Protective Mutualism and its Applications*. Cambridge  
 1262 University Press, Cambridge, U.K, pp 17–74  
 1263 Wäckers FL, Bonifay C, Lewis WJ (2002) Conditioning of appetitive behavior in the  
 1264 Hymenopteran parasitoid *Microplitis croceipes*. *Entomol Exp Appl* 103:135–138.

1265 Wäckers FL, van Rijn PCJ, Heimpel GE (2008) Honeydew as a food source for natural  
 1266 enemies: Making the best of a bad meal? Biol Control 45:176–184. doi:  
 1267 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2008.01.007

1268 Wade MR, Hopkinson JE, Zalucki MP (2008a) Influence of food supplementation on  
 1269 the fitness of two biological control agents: A predatory nabid bug and a bollworm  
 1270 pupal parasitoid. J Pest Sci (2004) 81:99–107. doi: 10.1007/s10340-007-0191-8

1271 Wade MR, Zalucki MP, Wratten SD, Robinson KA (2008b) Conservation biological  
 1272 control of arthropods using artificial food sprays: Current status and future  
 1273 challenges. Biol Control 45:185–199. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2007.10.024

1274 Wakefield ME, Bell HA, Gatehouse AMR (2010) Longevity and fecundity of *Eulophus*  
 1275 *pennicornis*, an ectoparasitoid of the tomato moth *Lacanobia oleracea*, is affected  
 1276 by nutritional state and diet quality. Agric For Entomol 12:19–27. doi:  
 1277 10.1111/j.1461-9563.2009.00441.x

1278 Waldbauer G P (1968). The consumption and utilization of food by insects. Adv Insect  
 1279 Physiol 5:229-288.

1280 Wang XG, Johnson MW, Opp SB, et al (2011) Honeydew and insecticide bait as  
 1281 competing food resources for a fruit fly and common natural enemies in the olive  
 1282 agroecosystem. Entomol Exp Appl 139:128–137. doi: 10.1111/j.1570-  
 1283 7458.2011.01114.x

1284 Wanner H, Gu H, Dorn S (2006) Nutritional value of floral nectar sources for flight in  
 1285 the parasitoid wasp, *Cotesia glomerata*. Physiol Entomol 31:127–133. doi:  
 1286 10.1111/j.1365-3032.2006.00494.x

1287 Wheeler D (1996) The role of nourishment in oogenesis. Annu Rev Entomol 41:407–  
 1288 31. doi: 10.1146/annurev.en.41.010196.002203

1289 Williams L, Deschodt P, Pointurier O, Wyckhuys KAG (2015) Sugar concentration and  
 1290 timing of feeding affect feeding characteristics and survival of a parasitic wasp. J  
 1291 Insect Physiol 79:10–18. doi: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2015.05.004  
 1292 Williams L, Roane TM (2007) Nutritional ecology of a parasitic wasp: Food source  
 1293 affects gustatory response, metabolic utilization, and survivorship. J Insect Physiol  
 1294 53:1262–1275. doi: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2007.06.017  
 1295 Winkler K, Wäckers F, Bukovinszkine-Kiss G, van Lenteren J (2006) Sugar resources  
 1296 are vital for *Diadegma semiclausum* fecundity under field conditions. Basic Appl  
 1297 Ecol 7:133–140. doi: 10.1016/j.baae.2005.06.001  
 1298 Winkler K, Wäckers FL, Kaufman LV, et al (2009) Nectar exploitation by herbivores  
 1299 and their parasitoids is a function of flower species and relative humidity. Biol  
 1300 Control 50:299–306. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2009.04.009  
 1301 Winkler K, Wäckers FL, Stingli A, Van Lenteren JC (2005) *Plutella xylostella*  
 1302 (diamondback moth) and its parasitoid *Diadegma semiclausum* show different  
 1303 gustatory and longevity responses to a range of nectar and honeydew sugars.  
 1304 Entomol Exp Appl 115:187–192. doi: 10.1111/j.1570-7458.2005.00254.x  
 1305 Wu H, Meng L, Li B (2008) Effects of feeding frequency and sugar concentrations on  
 1306 lifetime reproductive success of *Meteorus pulchricornis* (Hymenoptera:  
 1307 Braconidae). Biol Control 45:353–359. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2008.01.017  
 1308 Wyckhuys KAG, Strange-George JE, Kulhanek CA, et al (2008) Sugar feeding by the  
 1309 aphid parasitoid *Binodoxys communis*: how does honeydew compare with other  
 1310 sugar sources? J Insect Physiol 54:481–91. doi: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2007.11.007  
 1311 Zamek AL, Reynolds OL, Mansfield S, et al (2013) Carbohydrate diet and reproductive  
 1312 performance of a fruit fly parasitoid, *Diachasmimorpha tryoni*. J Insect Sci 13:74.



1313       doi: 10.1673/031.013.7401

1314   Zang L-S, Liu T-X (2010) Effects of food deprivation on host feeding and parasitism of

1315       whitefly parasitoids. *Environ Entomol* 39:912–8. doi: 10.1603/EN09266

1316   Zhang G, Zimmermann O, Hassan SA. (2004) Pollen as a source of food for egg

1317       parasitoids of the genus *Trichogramma* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae).

1318       *Biocontrol Sci Technol* 14:201–209. doi: 10.1080/09583150310001655648

1319   Zhang Y, Liu W, Wang W, et al (2011) Lifetime gains and patterns of accumulation and

1320       mobilization of nutrients in females of the synovigenic parasitoid, *Diglyphus isaea*

1321       Walker (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae), as a function of diet. *J Insect Physiol*

1322       57:1045–52. doi: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2011.05.002

1323